Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of the Naphthalene-n-Dodecane and Naphthalene-Dipropylene Glycol Systems at 100Mm. of Mercury

H. I. LYVERS' and MATTHEW VAN WINKLE University of Texas, Austin 12, Tex.

This investigation of the effect of dipropylene glycol on the vaporization characteristics of naphthalene and ndodecane is part of a program being conducted at the University of Texas. Houser (5), Haynes (3), Roberts (10), and Ward (12) have completed similar projects involving vapor-liquid equilibria of hydrocarbons of high molecular weight and other compounds. In this investigation activity coefficients for the naphthalene-n-dodecane and naphthalene-dipropylene glycol binaries were calculated from experimental data and checked for thermodynamic consistency. In addition, temperature-miscibility data were determined for the naphthalene-n-dodecane-dipropylene glycol system at atmospheric pressure.

APPARATUS

The equipment used in obtaining the vapor-liquid equilibrium samples was a modified Colburn still, similar to that described by Haynes and Van Winkle (3).

Pressure was measured to within ±0.5 mm. of mercury, by using a calibrated mercury manometer. An iron-constantan thermocouple and a Leeds & Northrup potentiometer were used to measure temperature to within $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$ C. (± 0.01 mv.).

The temperature-miscibility data for the naphthalene*n*-dodecane-dipropylene system were determined by using a test tube in which a stirring device and thermometer were placed. The test tube was heated in a mineral oil bath.

PROCEDURE

The procedure for determining vapor-liquid equilibrium data was essentially that described by Haynes and Van Winkle (3).

The liquid and vapor samples were analyzed by a Bausch & Lomb precision refractometer and monochromatic sodium D light. An electrically heated mineral oil bath maintained the refractometer at a constant temperature.

In determining the temperature-miscibility curves for the naphthalene-n-dodecane-dipropylene glycol system at atmospheric pressure a mixture of approximately 10 to 15 grams of the three compounds was weighed into a test tube by using an analytical balance. The test tube containing the mixture of known composition was placed in the mineral oil bath and the Bunsen burner was lighted. The stirring rod and thermometer were placed in the test tube. When the mixture was stirred, it appeared slightly opaque, because of mixing of the two liquid phases. The mixture in the test tube became clear when the two phases were completely miscible; the temperature at this point was recorded and the solution was allowed to cool. At the

¹Present address, Union Oil Co., Brea, Calif.

first appearance of cloudiness, the temperature was recorded and the average of the two temperatures taken as the miscibility temperature for that composition.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Activity coefficients were calculated by the following equation given by Hougen and Watson (4).

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\delta_1 y_1 P_T}{x_1 P_1} \tag{1}$$

where

- $y_1 =$ activity coefficient of component 1
- $\delta_1 =$ fugacity coefficient of component 1
- $y_1 = mole fraction of component 1 in vapor$
- $x_i = mole$ fraction of component 1 in liquid

 $P_T = \text{total pressure}$ $P_i = \text{vapor pressure of pure component 1 at temperature of}$ liquid

Fugacity coefficients were calculated for each compound by using the reduced charts of Hougen and Watson (4). The critical properties of each compound are presented in Table I; for dipropylene glycol the method of Lydersen was used (8). All the fugacity coefficients were found to be essentially equal to 1.

The experimental data for the naphthalene-n-dodecane system are presented in Table II and those for the naphthalene-dipropylene glycol system in Table III (Figures 1 and 2). Figure 1 indicates that a minimum boiling azeotrope occurs in the system at 66 mole % naphthalene and at 140.2°C. by interpolation of the data. Houser (5) found the azeotrope to exist at 67 mole % naphthalene and 140.2°C. These agree within the estimated precision of the data. On Figure 3 are represented the vapor-liquid composition data for both systems, and on Figure 4 the activity coefficient composition data. Activity coefficients calculated from the van Laar equation were used to smooth the experimental activity coefficients in Figure 4. The Redlich-Kister (9) thermodynamic consistency test of the smoothed experimental activity coefficients is shown in Figure 5. The positive and negative areas representing smoothed experimental activity coefficients had a deviation of 6% from the average for the naphthalene-n-dodecane system.

Thermodynamically consistent curves were calculated by using Equation 1 and visually smoothed liquid compositiontemperature data and activity coefficients calculated from the van Laar equation. Activity coefficients calculated from the van Laar equation had the following terminal values: $\gamma_N = 1.5$ at $x_N = 0$, and $\gamma_D = 2.5$ at $x_N = 1.00$. Houser (5) correlated his data, using terminal activity coefficients of 1.55 and 2.55, respectively.

	Naphthalene		n-D	odecane	Dipropylene Glycol	
	Expt1.	Lit.	Exptl.	Lit.	Exptl.	Lit.
Index of refraction						
n99.6	1,58659	1.5822 (6)	n ²⁵ 1.41956	1.41949 (11)	$n_{\rm D}^{20}$ 1.44115	1 1.4440 (1)
Density, d%		• • •	0.750	0.751 (7)	^D 1.026	1.0252 (1)
Melting point, ° C.						
	80.2	80.29 (11)	• • •			
		80.21 (2)	•••		• • •	
Vapor pressure ^a						
A	6.8151	6.84577 (11)	6.9928	6.98059 (11)	7.144	
B	1606.39	1606.529 (11)	1625.94	1625.928 (11)	1641.11	• • •
Ċ	189.19	187.227 (11)	179.46	180.311 (11)	150.00	• • •
Critical properties						
P _c , atm.		39.2 (2)	• • •	17.9 (11)	• • •	34.1 (8)
<i>Т</i> , °к.	• • •	742 (2)	•••	659 (11)		648

Table	I. Experime Naphth	ntal Vapor-Liquia alene-n-Dodecar	Equilibrium Data for • System Activity Coefficients		Table III. Experimental Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Data for Naphthalene-Dipropylene Glycol System				
x _N	y _N	<i>T</i> , °C.	γ_{N}	γ _D	Naphti Mol	nalene, le %		Activity C	Coefficients
5.2	8.2	145.17	1.532	1.001	×N	y _N	<i>T</i> , °C.	γ_{N}	γ_{G}
9.9	14.1	144.53	1.413	1.009	E 4	22.2	162.42	0.047	1 000
21.0	26.8	142.89	1.314	1.040	5.4	22.3	163.43	2.247	1.009
26.6	32.0	142,45	1.281	1.056	11.6	41.1	158.11	2.267	1.010
34.3	38.5	141.90	1.218	1.088	22.1	57.3	152.47	1.982	1.054
50 7	54 7	140.65	1 228	1 1 1 8	28.0	62.9	149.97	1.864	1.110
62.0	63.7	140.05	1 154	1.115	43.6	69.9	146.97	1.468	1.321
72 1	60.2	140.20	1.134	1.225	50.6	74.8	145.18	1.435	1.367
74.1	09.2	140.39	1.097	1.350	68.7	80.2	143 79	1 180	1 807
80.8	77.7	141.01	1,076	1.395	00.7	00.2	142.21	1.109	1.007
85,9	79.8	141.41	1.025	1.696	80.0	64.4	143.31	1.084	2.344
90,0	84.8	142.11	1,015	1.755	84.8	86.4	143.17	1.059	2.632
96.0	92.9	143.25	1.003	1.966	93.3	91.6	143.05	1.025	3.709



Figure 1. Equilibrium boiling point diagram for naphthalene-n-dodecane system



Figure 2. Equilibrium boiling point diagram for naphthalene-dipropylene glycol



Figure 3. Vapor-liquid equilibrium diagram





Figure 2, the equilibrium-boiling point diagram, indicates that a minimum boiling azeotrope occurs in the naphthalenedipropylene glycol system at 98 mole % naphthalene and at 142.9°C. by interpolation of data. The liquid composition curve was smoothed visually and vapor composition calculated by using Equation 1 and activity coefficients calculated from the van Laar equation. On Figure 3 is shown the vapor-liquid composition plot for the naphthalenedipropylene glycol system and on Figure 4 is shown a plot of activity coefficient data and liquid composition data. The experimental activity coefficients were in good agreement with the activity coefficients calculated from the van Laar equation. The van Laar constants, A and B, were 0.4314 and 0.6990, respectively. On Figure 5 is shown the Redlich-Kister plot for the naphthalene-dipropylene



Figure 6. Phase diagram for naphthalene-n-dodecane-dipropylene glycol system



Figure 7. Temperature-composition diagram for naphthalene-n-dodecane-dipropylene glycol system

	Table I	V. Two- Dodeca (Ca	Phase B ne-Dipro Atmosp ompositio	oundary pylene heric P on, weig	Data for Glycol S ressure ght per co	r Naphth ystem at ent)	alene-r	1-		
	110°C.			120°C.			130°C.			
X _N	X _D	X _G	X _N	x _D	x _G	X _N	X _D	x _G		
0.0	94.5	5,5	0.0	91.4	8.6	0.0	86.9	13.1		
10.0	81.6	8.4	4.4	85.6	10.0	8.5	71.5	20.0		
12.7	77.3	10.0	10.0	76.8	13.2	10.0	67.6	22.4		
20.0	62.2	17.8	15.0	65.0	20.0	12.4	57.6	30.0		
20.9	59.1	20.0	17.5	52.5	30.0	12.9	47.1	40.0		
22.6	47.4	30.0	17.5	42.5	40.0	12.5	37.5	50.0		
21.9	38.1	40,0	16.6	33.4	50.0	11.2	28.8	60.0		
20.5	29.5	50.0	14.7	25.3	60.0	10.0	25.4	64.6		
17.9	22.1	60.0	11.4	18.6	70.0	8.0	22.0	70.0		
14.2	15.8	70.0	10.0	17.4	72.6	3.1	16.9	80.0		
10.0	13.4	76.6	5.3	14.7	80.0	0.0	13.8	86.2		
7.4	12.6	80.0	0.0	10.9	89.1					
0.0	8.7	91.3								
140°C.				150°C.			154.8°C.			
X	X	X _G	X _N	x _D	X _G	X _N	x _D	x _G		
0.0	80.7	19.3	0.0	69.7	30.3	0.0	50.0	50.0		
1.0	79.0	20.0	2.3	57.7	40.0					
6.6	63.4	30.0	2.8	47.2	50.0					
8.0	52.0	40.0	2.0	38.0	60.0					
8.0	42.0	50.0	0.0	30.0	70.0					
6.9	33.1	60.0								
3.7	26.3	70.0								
0.6	19.4	80.0								
0.0	18.3	81.7								

glycol system. The positive and negative areas representing the experimental data had a deviation of 4% from the average.

The limits of the two-phase (liquid-liquid) region for the naphthalene-*n*-dodecane-dipropylene glycol system were determined at atmospheric pressure. Figure 6 is a plot of

temperature vs. weight per cent dipropylene glycol with parameters of 0, 10, and 20 weight % naphthalene. A cross plot of temperature vs. weight per cent naphthalene was made to aid in interpolation. Lines of constant temperature were then plotted on the ternary diagram (Figure 7). The points obtained by interpolation and used to plot Figure 7 are presented in Table IV.

The experimental vapor-liquid equilibrium data were estimated to be accurate to: temperature ± 0.05 °C., pressure ± 0.5 mm. of mercury, and composition ± 1.0 mole %.

The accuracy of the experimental miscibility-temperature data was estimated to be to ± 1.0 weight % and $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Co., "Glycols," 1955.
- (2) Dreisbach, R. R., Advances in Chem. Ser., No. 15 (1955).
 (3) Haynes, S., Jr., Van Winkle, M., Ind. Eng. Chem., 46, 334-7
- (1954).
 (4) Hougen, O. A., Watson, K. M., "Chemical Process Principles," Part II, pp. 614-53, Wiley, New York, 1947.
- (5) Houser, H. F., Van Winkle, M., Ind. Eng. Chem., Chem. Eng. Data Ser. 2, 12 (1957).
- (6) International Critical Tables, Vol. 1, p. 279, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1926.
- (7) Lange, A. L., "Handbook of Chemistry," 6th ed., Handbook Publishers, Sandusky, Ohio, 1946.
- (8) Lydersen, A. L., Univ. Wisconsin, Eng. Expt. Sta., Rept. 3 (April 1955).
- (9) Redlich, O., Kister, A. T., Ind. Eng. Chem. 40, 345 (1948).
- (10) Roberts, R. W., M. S. thesis, University of Texas, Austin, May 1956.
- (11) Rossini, F. D., others, "Selected Values of Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds," API Research Project 44, Carnegie Press, Pittsburgh, Pa., 1953.
- (12) Ward, S. H., Van Winkle, M., Ind. Eng. Chem. 46, 338-49 (1954).
- Received for review May 31, 1957. Accepted September 16, 1957.